# **Preferred Provider Scheme**

## Provider Workshop 1st July 2014

### Your views on the preferred option

#### What's working:

- Monitoring and quality checks.
- Joint working.

# What's not working/ needs looking into/points made/ concerns:

- Limits provider growth.
- Possible reduces in business.
- Monitoring doesn't always equal quality.
- How will a reduced number of providers meet the specialist/unique needs of people?
- Concern about drivers- something driven by inability to inspect can't be right.
- Process causing S/U's stress (timescales 2-3 years.
- KPI such as PCP's- this process is far from person centred.
- Clarity around service user choice around zones idea
- Look at other authorities- what's happening elsewhere e.g. monitoring of scheme.
- No surprises- we are all aware of the feedback and info in CQE minutes.
- Feedback- it has not included workshop details.
- Percentage age of people who said a 'defined area' was not an issue.
- Local knowledge- engagement
- Continuity/trust/faces/people.
- Affiliation
- Problem solving > correct way around?
- B16's small discussed.
- Resources/ marketing- tender.
- Cost negligible.
- Commissioning market issues.
- CQC does not happen.
- Ideas put forward are not put into action.
- E.g. L.D contract structures do not exist.
- 21 responses is tiny and does not represent the market statistically irrelevant,
- How is this person centred? It will cause much stress to individuals.

- Are there only these three options?
- Clarity on option 3?
- Quality measures- framework- when will it be designed and by who?
- Not fitting with smaller providers.
- How can be monitored when they can buy what they want?
- Figures based on limited response
- Also included non- provider in 21
- What resources are available to monitor/develop the scheme?
- Consideration of working in zones, what is the driver for this?
- Still not answered questions around the preferred provider scheme, benefits/disadvantages...
- Other questions in questionnaire nothing to do with provider scheme.
- Should commissioners be managing/ constraining the market? Should be developing growth choice.
- Conflicting info on slides- first slide talked about quality being helpful then second complained it was not monitored.
- More providers didn't think a problem only 10%.
- Clarification on budgets, direct payments.

# **Specific Questions**

- Q4- how do you get 2% from 21 responses given when 1 out of 21 is nearly 5%
- Q6 was this question clear to providers? What is a defined local area? Did providers think this was one of the 3 footprints?